

Random Walk in Periodic Environment

István Rédl

Bálint Vető

October 21, 2010

Abstract

We consider a special case of random walk in random environment (RWRE) on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ where the environment is periodic (RWPE). Under natural conditions, we show that law of large numbers and central limit theorem holds. In the ballistic nearest neighbour reversible case, we prove that the angle between the asymptotic direction of the RWPE and the average negative gradient of the potential function of the reversible environment is less than $\pi/2$, that is, the potential cannot increase asymptotically along the trajectory of the RWPE. But this angle can be close to $\pi/2$.

1 Introduction

The general random walk in random environment (RWRE) on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ consists of two components: a random environment is chosen and a particle performs a random walk with transition probabilities given by the environment. More precisely, let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of probability measures on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. The environment is a random element of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$, i.e. for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, a random probability measure $(p_x(y))_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$. Conditionally given the environment, the random walk X_n is a Markov chain, that is

$$\mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = x + y \mid X_n = x, (p_x(z))_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}) = p_x(y)$$

and $X_0 = 0$. For more about RWRE, see the lecture notes [5]. Motivated partly by [1], we describe here the possible asymptotic directions in a special model for RWRE.

In the present paper, our main assumption is that the environment is periodic: there are fixed integers $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_d$ such that

$$p_{x+M_i e_i}(y) = p_x(y) \quad i = 1, \dots, d \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ where e_i is the i th unit vector in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. We consider a fixed frozen environment, because our theorems are true for almost all realizations of the environment.

With the notation

$$M := M_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times M_d\mathbb{Z},$$

we can introduce the following equivalence relation of vertices in $\mathbb{Z}^d$:

$$x \sim y \quad \text{if} \quad x - y \in M.$$

Condition (1) is equivalent with saying that, for equivalent vertices, the step distributions are the same.

Let

$$T := \mathbb{Z}^d / M = \{0, \dots, M_1 - 1\} \times \cdots \times \{0, \dots, M_d - 1\}$$

be the torus obtained by factorizing the lattice with the sublattice M . In this way, we get a finite set. Note that, by the periodicity condition, the measures

$$(p_x(y))_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \quad x \in T$$

extend to an environment on the whole $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Furthermore, let ξ_n be the *induced Markov chain* on the finite state space T defined by taking the equivalence class of X_n . We call the transition matrix of ξ_n by P , i.e.

$$P_{xy} := \mathbf{P}(\xi_n = y \mid \xi_{n-1} = x)$$

for any $x, y \in T$. We suppose that the environment is given in such a way that ξ_n is irreducible and aperiodic, hence, it has a unique stationary probability measure π on T .

In this setting, the increments $(X_n - X_{n-1})_{n \geq 1}$ form a sequence of *chain dependent random variables*. They actually depend on the pair (ξ_{n-1}, ξ_n) , which is also a finite state Markov chain. Indeed, given that the n th jump $X_{n-1} \mapsto X_n$ starts at the equivalence class ξ_{n-1} and that it ends in the equivalence class ξ_n , then the distribution of $X_n - X_{n-1}$ is

$$\mathbf{P}(X_n - X_{n-1} = w \mid \xi_{n-1} = x, \xi_n = y) = \mathbb{1}(w \sim y) \frac{p_x(w)}{\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d: z \sim y} p_x(z)} \quad (2)$$

by taking conditional probability only for those pairs (x, y) for which the $x \mapsto y$ jump has positive probability. Therefore, the sequence X_n can be sampled as follows. One generates first the whole trajectory of the induced Markov chain (ξ_n) , then, for each n , conditionally on the pair (ξ_{n-1}, ξ_n) , one draws $X_n - X_{n-1}$ independently with the appropriate distribution given by (2). For any two states $x, y \in T$, let μ_{xy} be the conditional expectation of the vector of the $x \mapsto y$ jump for the RWPE X_n , that is, the expectation of (2):

$$\mu_{xy} := \mathbf{E}(X_n - X_{n-1} \mid \xi_{n-1} = x, \xi_n = y) = \frac{\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d: z \sim y} z p_x(z)}{\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d: z \sim y} p_x(z)}.$$

If no $x \mapsto y$ jump is possible, then the conditional distribution does not make sense, and let $\mu_{xy} = 0$.

For chain dependent random variables, see the textbook [2]. They are also referred to as random walks with internal degrees of freedom, see [3].

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we state the results: under natural moment conditions, law of large numbers and central limit theorem hold in this setup. For the reversible nearest neighbour RWPE in the ballistic case, we investigate the relationship between the average gradient of the potential and the asymptotic direction of the random walk. The proofs are done in Section 3 and 4.

2 Results

Proposition 1 (Law of large numbers). *Let X_n be a RWPE. Suppose that the induced Markov chain ξ_n is irreducible and aperiodic, and, for the expectations,*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |y| p_x(y) < \infty$$

holds for all $x \in T$. Then

$$\frac{X_n}{n} \rightarrow \nu$$

almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where

$$\nu := \sum_{x \in T} \pi(x) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_x(y) y = \sum_{x, y \in T} \pi(x) P_{xy} \mu_{xy}. \quad (3)$$

Proposition 2 (Central limit theorem). *Let X_n be a RWPE. Suppose that the induced Markov chain ξ_n is irreducible and aperiodic, and, for the second moments,*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |y|^2 p_x(y) < \infty$$

for all $x \in T$. Then

$$\frac{X_n - n\nu}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$$

in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{ij} := & \sum_{x \in T} \pi(x) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_x(y) (y_i - \nu_i)(y_j - \nu_j) \\ & + 2 \sum_{x, y, z, w \in T} \pi(x) P_{xy} ((\mu_{xy})_i - \nu_i) (I - P)_{yz}^{-1} P_{zw} ((\mu_{zw})_j - \nu_j). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

These theorems are consequences of general theory of Markov chains. For the central limit theorem, our proof is remarkably simpler than the one found in [4].

The main result of this paper is about nearest neighbour RWPE which is reversible with respect to some σ -finite measure. The reversibility condition is satisfied if, for each elementary circle of the lattice, the products of the jump probabilities in both directions are equal.

The nearest neighbour property means that only the probabilities $p_x(e)$ are non-zero where $|e| = 1$. We assume also that these probabilities are strictly positive, hence, irreducibility of ξ_n follows. However, we lose the aperiodicity assumption on ξ_n .

Reversibility means that we can define the potential function of the environment by $u(0) = 0$ and

$$u(x) - u(x + e) = \log \left(\frac{p_x(e)}{p_{x+e}(-e)} \right)$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $|e| = 1$. The *average negative gradient* of the potential function u is given by

$$g := \left(\frac{1}{M_1} \log \prod_{i=0}^{M_1-1} \frac{p_{ie_1}(e_1)}{p_{(i+1)e_1}(-e_1)}, \dots, \frac{1}{M_d} \log \prod_{i=0}^{M_d-1} \frac{p_{ie_d}(e_d)}{p_{(i+1)e_d}(-e_d)} \right).$$

Theorem 1. *Let X_n be a reversible nearest neighbour RWPE. Assume that $p_x(e) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $|e| = 1$. If g is not the 0 vector, then $\langle g, \nu \rangle > 0$ where ν is given by (3).*

This theorem tells us that the potential of the random walker can only decrease asymptotically.

Remark. *Theorem 1 is the best we can prove, because the angle of g and ν can be arbitrarily close to $\pi/2$ even in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in the simple random walk case. Consider the jump distribution*

$$\begin{aligned} p_x(e_1) &= K\varepsilon, & p_x(-e_1) &= \varepsilon, \\ p_x(e_2) &= \frac{2}{3}(1 - (K+1)\varepsilon), & p_x(-e_2) &= \frac{1}{3}(1 - (K+1)\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with K and ε specified later.

Then the (average) negative gradient vector of the potential is clearly $(\log K, \log 2)$ for any ε as long as all the weights are positive. So let us choose K first. We can make the angle of the gradient $(\log K, \log 2)$ with the first coordinate vector e_1 arbitrarily small.

On the other hand, the expected jump vector is obviously

$$\left((K-1)\varepsilon, \frac{1}{3}(1 - (K+1)\varepsilon) \right) \rightarrow \left(0, \frac{1}{3} \right) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

for any fixed K .

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following idea: we introduce level hyperplanes which are perpendicular to the gradient vector g . The RWPE taken in the hitting times of these level hyperplanes is a one dimensional simple random walk, which is transient in the asymmetric case.

Remark. *The one dimensional case of the RWPE can be also handled by standard martingale technics. The key observation here is that the RWPE stopped at the exit time of some interval $[-K, K]$ is a generalized gamblers ruin game.*

3 Law of large numbers and central limit theorem

The proof of Proposition 1 is easy: ξ_n converges exponentially fast to its stationary distribution. Conditionally given the trajectory of ξ_n , for any $x, y \in T$, those jumps of X_n which start in the equivalence class x and end in the equivalence class y are i.i.d. random variables with mean μ_{xy} . The asymptotic proportion of these jumps is close to the stationary weight of the $x \mapsto y$ jumps of ξ_n . Further details are left to the reader.

Proposition 2 follows from Exercise 7.3 in Chapter 7 of [2]. It gives the central limit theorem for general sequences of chain dependent random variables. Our setup fits into this framework. The covariance matrix is given by the discrete version of the Green–Kubo formula

$$\mathbf{E}(X_1 - X_0 - \nu)^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}(X_{n+1} - X_n - \nu)(X_1 - X_0 - \nu),$$

which transforms to (4) in our case.

4 Asymptotic direction and average gradient of the environment

We say that the vector g is *appropriate*, if it has rational coordinates and it has a multiple $g_1 = \alpha g$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the endpoint of g_1 is equivalent to its starting point. Note that appropriate directions are dense in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We assume first that g appropriate. Later this assumption will be relaxed.

We take the hyperplane across the origin orthogonal to the gradient g , that is, $H_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, g \rangle = 0\}$. Let g_1 be the vector given above. We consider

$$H_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, g \rangle = k \langle g_1, g \rangle\} = H_0 + k g_1 \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

the hyperplane H_0 translated by the multiples of g_1 .

We introduce a new equivalence relation which is a refinement of $\sim$, i.e. let

$$x \approx y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x \sim y \quad \text{and} \quad u(x) = u(y),$$

that is, if x and y are $\sim$ equivalent and they also have the same potential value. Note that the classes are non-trivial, since g has rational coordinates.

We can now define the *level hyperplanes* L_k in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ which are the discrete counterparts of H_k . Let L_0 be a subset in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with the following properties:

1. L_0 is periodic, i.e. it contains entire equivalence classes of $\approx$;
2. the distances of its points from H_0 is uniformly bounded;
3. L_0 disconnects $\mathbb{Z}^d$ into two components.

It is not hard to construct such a set. The level hyperplanes are given by

$$L_k := L_0 + kg_1. \quad (5)$$

Note that, by the second property, finitely many $\approx$ equivalence classes are in L_k for each k , therefore, the number of possible potential values in L_k is finite. This is again a consequence of the rational coordinates in g .

For each pair of $\approx$ equivalence classes that both appear in L_0 , we choose a directed path $\gamma_{x,y}$ where x is the starting point, y is the endpoint, which are in the given two classes. This construction gives us finitely many paths, hence their weight is uniformly bounded from below:

$$\mathbf{P}(\gamma_{x,y}) \geq \beta > 0 \quad x, y \in L_0. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, by the definition (5), each pair of $\approx$ equivalence classes in any of the L_k s can be connected by a shifted version of some $\gamma_{x,y}$ with $x, y \in L_0$. In this way, the $\gamma_{x,y}$ is extended to $x, y \in L_k$ for all k and the boundedness property (6) is preserved.

Let

$$\tau_k := \min\{n \geq 0 : X_n \in L_k\}$$

be the first hitting time of L_k . We will prove that, for any $x_0 \in L_0$,

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau_{-k} < \tau_k \mid X_0 = x_0) < \frac{1}{2} \quad (7)$$

for some $k > 0$ integer. If this relation is verified, then the RWPE is an asymmetric simple random walk on the level hyperplanes $(L_{kl})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where the time needed for one step $(\tau_k \wedge \tau_{-k})$ can be dominated by a geometrically distributed random variable, and the periodicity provides independence between two neighbouring levels. This is enough for the proof of Theorem 1.

For showing (7), we give a uniform estimate on the probability of paths going from L_0 to L_{-k} where $k > 0$ is some integer for which L_0 and L_{-k} are disjoint. We take an arbitrary path from L_0 to L_{-k} . We call its starting point a , its last point in L_0 is b ($b = a$ is also possible), and the endpoint of the path is c , which is also its first point in L_{-k} . We denote the path by

$$\omega_{a,c} = \omega_{a,b} * \omega_{b,c}$$

where $*$ means connection of two paths.

We construct a path from L_0 to L_k that serves for estimation. The new path starts from $a \in L_0$. The first part is one of the previously fixed paths $\gamma_{a,b'}$ where $b' \in L_0$ with $b' \sim b$. Then, we take the shifted and reversed version of the path $\omega_{a,b}$ which we call $v_{b',a'}$ where $a' \in L_0$ and $a' \sim a$. In the next step, we continue along the canonically fixed path $\gamma_{a',c'}$ where $c' \in L_0$ and $c' \sim c$. Finally, we shift and reverse the path $\omega_{b,c}$ to get $v_{c',b''}$ where $b'' \in L_k$ with $b'' \sim b$. With this procedure, we have constructed the path

$$\psi_{a,b''} := \gamma_{a,b'} * v_{b',a'} * \gamma_{a',c'} * v_{c',b''}$$

which starts at L_0 and it arrives at L_k . Furthermore, no other points of $\psi_{a,b''}$ than b'' is contained in L_k , recall the definition of the point b .

By the definition of the potential and the periodicity, it follows that

$$\mathbf{P}(\psi_{a,b''}) = e^{u(c)-u(a)} \mathbf{P}(\omega_{a,c}) \mathbf{P}(\gamma_{a,b'}) \mathbf{P}(\gamma_{a',c'}).$$

From (6),

$$\mathbf{P}(\omega_{a,c}) \leq e^{u(a)-u(c)} \beta^{-2} \mathbf{P}(\psi_{a,b''}). \quad (8)$$

It is not hard to show that the map $\omega_{a,c} \mapsto \psi_{a,b''}$ is injective. If we choose k large enough, then the factor $e^{u(a)-u(c)} \beta^{-2}$ in (8) can be made smaller than $1/2$ for all pairs $a \in L_0$ and $c \in L_k$. Therefore, all paths $\omega_{a,c}$ is associated injectively to a path $\psi_{a,b''}$ with strictly higher probability by (8). This proves (7).

If g is not appropriate, then we approximate g with some appropriate g' . We build up the level hyperplanes using g' . The error can be made arbitrarily small, because the walker cannot get too far in other directions before hitting L_{-k} by large deviation principle. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement: We thank Balázs Ráth for drawing our attention to this problem.

References

- [1] N. Berger: Slowdown estimates for ballistic random walk in random environment. *Preprint*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0811.1710> (2010)
- [2] R. Durrett: *Probability Theory and Examples*. Second Ed., Duxbury Press, 1996.
- [3] A. Krámli, D. Szász: Random Walks with Internal Degrees of Freedom. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete*, **63**:85–95 (1983)
- [4] T. Takenami: Local limit theorem for random walk in periodic environment. *Osaka J. Math.* **39**:867–895 (2002)
- [5] O. Zeitouni: *Lecture Notes on Random Walks in Random Environment*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1837 pp. 190–312 Springer, Heidelberg, 2004.